

State of Vermont OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

June 13, 2024

The Honorable BetsyAnn Wrask Clerk of the Vermont House of Representatives 115 State Street Montpelier, VT 05633

Dear Ms. Wrask:

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, I'm returning H.121, *An act relating to enhancing consumer privacy and the age-appropriate design code*, without my signature because of my objections herein. This bill creates an unnecessary and avoidable level of risk.

One area of risk comes from the bill's "private right of action," which would make Vermont a national outlier, and more hostile than any other state to many businesses and non-profits – a reputation we already hold in a number of other areas. I appreciate this provision is narrow in its impact, but it will still negatively impact mid-sized employers, and is generating significant fear and concern among many small businesses.

Another area of risk comes from the "Kids Code" provision. While this is an important goal we can all support, similar legislation in California has already been stopped by the courts for likely First Amendment violations. We should await the decision in that case to craft a bill that addresses known legal pitfalls before charging ahead with policy likely to trigger high risk and expensive lawsuits. Vermonters will already be on the hook for expensive litigation when the Attorney General takes on "Big Oil," and should not have to pay for additional significant litigation already being fought by California.

Finally, the bill's complexity and unique expansive definitions and provisions create big and expensive new burdens and competitive disadvantages for the small and mid-sized businesses Vermont communities rely on. These businesses are already poised to absorb an onslaught of new pressures passed by the Legislature over the last two years, including a payroll tax, a Clean Heat Standard, a possible Renewable Energy Standard (if my veto is overridden), not to mention significant property tax increases.

The bottom line is, we have simply accumulated too much risk. However, if the underlying goals are consumer data privacy and child protection, there is a path forward. Vermont should adopt Connecticut's data privacy law, which New Hampshire has largely done with its new law. Such regional consistency is good for both consumers and the economy.

Sincere

Philip B. Scott Governor